Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/747,867	HERBISON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Quoc A. Tran	2176	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) Quoc A. Tran (USPTO).	(3)		
(2) Chritopher L. Lutz (Attorney).	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>17 July 2008</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 34-35 and 37			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner is invited to contract the undersigned for any reason related to the advancement of this case. Applicant's Attorney agrees to the following: amended of claims 34-35 and 37 to method claims rather than the device claims as cited in the current paper, since they are depending upon a method claim (independent claim 1). The claims have been amended in accordance with the substance of the telephone interview. Favorable consideration of the pending claims and passing them allowance is agreed upon.			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
/Oues A. Tron/			
/Quoc A. Tran/ Examiner, Art Unit 2176	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	